

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,696	DESIN, ANDREW	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) Edward F. Behm, Jr..

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 June 2005

**Time:** 10:25

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None.*

Claims discussed:  
*Claims 1 and 16.*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The phrase "from about" will be deleted from claims 1 and 16. Claim 1 will be re-written for better clarity.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)